

MINUTES OF THE POPULATION AND MIGRATION STATISTICS COMMITTEE (SCOTLAND): 17 May 2006

Present:

Cecilia Macintyre	GROS (Chair)
Jenny Boag	Falkirk Council
Richard Belding	Aberdeenshire Council
Jan Freeke	Glasgow City Council
Alistair Harvey	City of Edinburgh Council
Scherie Nicol	Highlands and Islands Enterprise
Cheryl Heeley	ISD
James Croane	EDINA
Roma Chappell	ONS
Chris Carr	Argyll & Bute Council
Paul Boyle	St Andrew's University
Sheena Stevely	Renfrewshire Council
Claire Boag	Scottish Executive
Gayle Johnston	Scottish Executive
Duncan Macniven	Registrar General for Scotland (item 8e onwards)
Valerie West	GROS
Alan Fleming	GROS
Paula Lopez	GROS
Neil Bowie	GROS
Bryan Cunningham	GROS
Esta Clark	GROS (Secretary)

1. Introductions and membership

(a) Apologies

1.1 Apologies were received from: Peter Scrimgeour (GROS), Julie Wilson (Scottish Executive), Ross Brown (Scottish Enterprise), Jennifer Bishop (ISD) *represented* by Cheryl Heeley, Katriona Carmichael (Scottish Executive), Tim Riley (EDINA) *represented* by James Croane, Gordon McAdam (Communities Scotland), Esther Roughsedge (GROS) *represented* by Paula Lopez, Ganka Mueller (GROS) *represented* by Neil Bowie, Duncan Gray (Scottish Executive) *represented* by Claire Boag.

1.2 The chair, Cecilia Macintyre welcomed everyone to the meeting and said that Peter Scrimgeour sent his apologies as he could not make it.

2. Minutes of the PAMS meeting held on 23 November 2005 – PAMS (05) 24

2.1 Richard Belding said that section 7.6 bullet point 5 should say.." there would be 19 rather than the current 68 wards in Aberdeenshire..". Richard also asked that the point GROS made about problems getting datazones to fit the Cairngorms National Park area should be added to the minutes.

2.2 The previous meeting's minutes were then approved.

3. Update on action points from the last meeting - PAMS (05) 25

3.1 Action point 3.1: Graham Jackson to pursue work with ONS to agree recommendations for appropriate use of SMR's. Cecilia noted that this action point had been ongoing for some time and that Graham Jackson would attend PAMS once there was something to report. Until then she proposed that the item should be dropped from the action point list. The other action points from the previous meeting had been completed.

4. The Scottish Longitudinal Study (Presentation)

4.1 Professor Paul Boyle presented information about the Scottish Longitudinal Study, including, what it is and how to access it. He agreed to circulate his slides to the group.

Action Paul Boyle

4.2 Following Paul's presentation there was a question and answer session covering the following main points:-

- Jenny Boag asked about the level of geography available. Paul replied that it went down to Census Output Area in theory. Postcode information was restricted for disclosure reasons though sometimes analysis could be run, for example, to look at the health of those living near to power lines. Jenny also asked whether information about civil partnerships would be available. Paul replied that there was no reason why not and he assumed it would be there as it would come from the same sources as the other information about status.
- Cecilia Macintyre asked about whether there was funding available for work. Paul replied that there was funding for the support team who would create the database and they would help with analysis and also run analysis. They are not employed to be statistical consultants. Paul thought that some groups will need to get their own grant money.
- Sheena Stevely asked whether the report and the data would be available. Paul said that both would be available in theory, though users may need to provide SPSS code for the support team to run, so that no access to sensitive data would be given.
- Jan Freeke asked about the information available about households. Paul said that for each SLS member, they hold information on their household from each Census, but the household members can change between Censuses, and the other household members are not followed. It will be possible to carry out some household structure analysis.
- Roma Chappell asked about any plans to include unemployment benefit data. Paul said that this type of information was not available yet but that, yes, it was planned to look at adding unemployment benefit data.
- Jan Freeke asked whether it would be possible to look at travel to work in relation to migration. Paul said he was disappointed that this could not be done because the cost for adding the information from the 1991 Census was too high and the quality of the information that would be gained was uncertain. Any analysis would have to be from 2001 Census data only. He hoped that the 2011 Census would allow an examination of changes over time.

4.3 Cecilia thanked Paul for a very interesting and informative talk.

5. SCOTSTAT Board meeting update (9th meeting 10 February 2006) - PAMS (06) 01

5.1 Jenny Boag (the PAMS representative on the SCOTSTAT board) presented her report from the last SCOTSTAT board meeting and mentioned that all the papers were on the website. Jenny also informed the group that the next SCOTSTAT Board meeting was to be on 28 September 2006. She reported that the Board had looked at the way in which the SCOTSTAT groups were working and mentioned that PAMS was one of the better examples of where it works well. Jenny also mentioned that there was to be a COSLA meeting to discuss Demography which it would be important to be involved with. Jenny asked the group if they had any questions.

5.2 Cecilia Macintyre thanked Jenny for her report and agreed to look into finding out more about the COSLA meeting as a matter of urgency. Paul Boyle asked whether the SCOTSTAT meetings were worth having. Jenny Boag replied that yes they were though perhaps there was a case for the committees to be more cross cutting. Cecilia thanked everyone for their contribution and said that we should think about possibly inviting Rob Wishart to a PAMS meeting.

6. GROS Statistics Plans for 2006-07

6.1 Cecilia Macintyre introduced this paper saying that this was the current draft plan and that it may change before the final version appears on the GROS website. Cecilia highlighted that the Statistics Division had been split into two with a Census Division and a Demography Division. Cecilia asked the group whether they had any comments.

6.2 Jenny Boag noted that SAPE was missing from the plan. Jan Freeke asked whether there were plans to carry out any work into migrants from Eastern Europe. Cecilia said that it was planned to look into migrants from Eastern Europe along with NISRA and ONS and a telephone conference meeting was arranged for this week. Roma Chappell added that migrants from Eastern Europe were an emerging issue for the whole of the UK and it has raised questions about the resident population base used in the population estimates. It is planned to look at ways of capturing people staying for shorter periods of time as this information is needed for service provision. First it is planned to look at what data sources are available and then to scope what we can do. NISRA are taking the lead in this work for the four countries and they plan to issue a note in the summer about early progress. Jan Freeke asked why NISRA were leading this work. Roma replied that it was identified at the high level Population and Migration Theme Working Group that the issue of Eastern European migration affected everyone and that the work should be taken forward by NISRA for practical reasons.

7. Census

(a) 2001 Census: Progress Report - PAMS (06) 03

7.1 Alan Fleming introduced this paper, highlighted some key points from the paper and asked for any comments. The following are the main points from the resulting discussion:-

- Jenny Boag asked whether it was possible to have one large PDF file containing the data on the quality of all the 2001 Census variables, as this would be easier to work with than the data currently on the GROS website, where there is a separate

PDF file for each variable. Alan said that there were accessibility issues with loading large PDF files onto the website, but he would arrange for a copy to be emailed to Jenny.

Action Alan Fleming

- Richard Belding asked whether the same problem applied to the Registrar General's Annual Report as it was a large document. Alan replied that he thought the Annual Report should be ok size wise to be put into PDF but there was pressure from the web team not to place large PDF files on to the website for accessibility reasons. ***Post meeting note: Generally, PDF files which are bigger than 350KB are not placed on the Website, though there are a small number of exceptions, one of which is the Registrar General's Annual Report.***
- Paul Boyle asked about his recent query regarding the information on the postcode of place of study. Alan replied that he had not had a chance to look at this as yet but hoped to do so soon.
- Richard Belding asked whether it was planned to add information about the new multi member wards to SCROL. Alan said that it was hoped to have the geography look up in November / December and it would be possible to do ad hoc requests and he would consider adding it to SCROL as a geography if there was a demand for it. Jenny Boag said that there would be a big demand for it and asked how long it would take to add it to the site. It would be possible to produce the tables and add them to the main GROS website fairly quickly. Richard Belding said that the work should be prioritised and that key statistics should be produced first. This is consistent with what GROS had done for the new Westminster Parliamentary constituencies (all of the new WPC tables, apart from those involving travel and migration, had been added to the main GROS website between April and November 2005). Alan said that adding another geography to SCROL was significantly more time consuming - it was likely to be July/August 2006 before the new WPC data is added to SCROL. This was mainly due to the work involved in getting the data in a suitable format for SCROL. Alan said that he hoped it may be possible to speed up the process for the new wards data and have the data available a bit more quickly on SCROL. Cecilia asked Alan to produce a timetable for this work which could be circulated to PAMS to give an idea of the timescales and work involved. Richard added that if GROS do this work then everyone else will not need to. Jenny Boag said that she needed information on the new wards by the end of June. Alistair Harvey added that he needed the information as soon as the boundaries were available. Cecilia asked whether all the boundaries would be available at the same time and it seemed that they would not be. ***[Post meeting note: A new piece of software is being considered, called SuperWeb (from the SuperStar suite of products) which could help greatly speed up the process of putting new data onto SCROL. It is likely to be a few months before it is possible to say whether SuperWeb will be suitable for this purpose. Once this is known, it is sensible to produce a timetable at that time for how long it would take for data for the new wards to be added to SCROL.]***

Action Alan Fleming

- Paul Boyle asked when the location of a safe setting to look at detailed 2001 Census SARs data would be available. Alan said that ONS were producing a draft recommendation by August which would aim to identify a suitable way for them to make the data available for interrogation in GROS and NISRA. It was hoped that

this, and the identification of a suitable setting, could be achieved by the end of the year.

(b) Census Test and 2011 Census Progress – PAMS (06) 04

7.2 Valerie West, the head of the new Statistical Methodology & Geography branch, introduced this paper explaining that there had been changes to the way in which the work for the next Census was being managed. As well as the existing Statistics Division being split into two: a Census Division and a Demography Division, new people were arriving and there were a number of people who had retired such as Frank Thomas. There were three main events: the Census Test (forms were currently being collected and it is hoped to get the data in August), the Census rehearsal in 2009 and then the 2011 Census. Valerie informed the group that the 2011 Census project was a massive undertaking with a lot of topic groups one of which was migration which Cecilia would be involved with. Valerie had had a meeting recently with Jenny Boag as to how to involve the local authorities more in the process. Valerie finished by asking whether anyone had any questions and the following are the main points from the discussion:-

- Jenny Boag said that she would be interested in having a seminar after the results are back in from the Census Test with the opportunity to look in more detail at the questions and the results. She mentioned that road shows were held in the run up to the 2001 Census but you did not get to discuss the detail.
- Paul Boyle asked whether the results of the 2011 Census needed to be integrated with England and Wales. Valerie replied that the Registrar Generals had agreed to harmonise on a number of issues which covered questions and outputs.
- Jenny Boag said that the last time people felt more involved in the detail whereas this time they don't feel like they are that involved with the work ONS is doing. Roma Chappell said that she would take these comments back to the Census team in ONS. Roma also mentioned that some of the ONS topics groups had yet to start up but the papers would be available on the ONS website. Valerie wondered whether the UKCDMAC group could have sub-groups. Jenny said that this group was very technical in nature and not the right forum. Jenny agreed to contact Valerie to discuss further.

Action Jenny Boag

(c) 2011 Census Outputs: Aims – PAMS (06) 05

7.3 Valerie West also introduced this paper saying that it was planned to have the outputs from the 2011 Census on both the ONS and the GROS websites. There would be a meeting of the Registrar Generals about disclosure control in June, followed by a consultation and then the methods being disseminated in the autumn of 2006. Valerie asked if there were any questions and the following are the main points from the discussion:-

- Paul Boyle asked whether it would be possible to get access to the Census Test data. Valerie replied no because of legal reasons plus the data would not be representative but Peter Scrimgeour was pursuing legal colleagues about access.
- Jan Freeke asked whether it would be possible to get access to an address list of occupied dwellings. Valerie said she would look in to it.

Action Valerie West

- Paul Boyle asked whether it would be possible to access the data from the Census Test in a secure setting. Jenny Boag asked what it was wanted for. Paul replied

that he would like to look at the response to questions not asked in the Census. Valerie replied that she would take these points to Peter Scrimgeour to see if it was possible.

Action Valerie West

(d) 2011 Census in England and Wales

7.4 Roma Chappell presented this item noting that good progress had been made planning for the 2011 Census in England and Wales. Roma explained that there were plans for a Census Test in 2007, a major test of about 100,000 households over 5 local authorities in England and Wales. The local authorities have been announced but specific areas have not yet been decided. The Census Test in 2007 is designed to test among other things, field work procedures, test new questions e.g. income, post-out versus hand delivery as well as the proof of concept of the supporting system. Roma mentioned that the test would not provide all the evidence.

7.5 Roma continued to explain that her colleagues in ONS were working hard on developing a questionnaire for the test and that they would be testing new questions on income, languages and second homes. ONS had made the decision to make the test 4 pages per person but this didn't mean that there will be 4 pages per person for the actual Census. Roma said that she was happy to send PAMS members copies of the papers from the ONS Census Advisory Group if anyone was interested.

Action PAMS members

7.6 Roma also said that there had been a workshop held on 27 March to update users on progress and on plans. Jenny Boag had attended and Roma said that she could provide papers on request.

Action PAMS members

8. Non-Census Issues

(a) Population estimates and projections update – PAMS (06) 06

8.1 Cecilia Macintyre introduced this paper saying that since the last PAMS meeting in November the 2004-based sub-national projections and the 2005 mid-year estimates had been published. No comments had been received on the estimates but comments had been received from Aberdeen City and Aberdeenshire on the projections. The new Population Projections working Group (PPWG) was due to meet on 31 May to look at these issues and also to start to review the method of projecting the population for areas within Scotland.

8.2 Cecilia mentioned that work was currently underway to quality assure the 2001 to 2004 Small Area Population Estimates (SAPE) against administrative sources and it was also hoped to do this with the 2005 estimates. Cecilia also said that it was hoped to hold a SAPE Working Group meeting in June. Cecilia asked for comments on the proposal in the paper to only quality assure problem datazones for the mid 2005 estimates in order to bring forward the timetable for publication. After discussion it was agreed to distribute information for all datazones and ask local authorities to look at specific areas and it was thought that this may speed up the publication timetable.

8.3 Cecilia explained that it was planned to produce the second Life Expectancy publication in September 2006 and it was hoped to provide information to other areas as well as local authorities. Cecilia asked whether anyone had any views on areas or geographies life expectancy information should be produced for. No one had any suggestions.

(b) Settlements update – PAMS (06) 07

8.4 Cecilia Macintyre introduced this paper and explained that the work on Settlement and Locality estimates had moved from the 2001 Census and Dissemination branch to the Population and Migration Statistics branch. It was planned to publish Settlement estimates in June but Locality estimates would not be available at the same time. Settlement populations were required by the Scottish Executive and therefore it was not possible to wait until the Locality estimates were ready for publication.

8.5 Cecilia continued to say that the production of the Settlement estimates had raised issues about definitions, therefore, it is planned to look at these issues next year rather than produce Settlement estimates for 2005. Cecilia said that issues about Settlement and Locality populations would be raised through the SAPE Working Group. Cecilia asked if there were any questions.

8.6 Jenny Boag said that she would like to return to the argument about the usefulness of datazones. Jenny said that she thought that the issue of the usefulness of datazones was wider than the PAMS group. Cecilia wondered what the geography for the next Census would be. Richard Belding said that Output Areas were useful as they were small enough to be used as building blocks. Valerie said that “geography” will come under the disclosure discussions still to take place. Jenny added that disclosure and geography were closely linked and that in Scotland, Output Areas would give good approximations to areas, because, in her opinion datazones would not. Jenny finished by saying that datazones were not as useful as people in the SNS statistics team think they are.

(c) International migration statistics – plans for review for 2006 MYE – PAMS (06) 08

8.7 Cecilia Macintyre also introduced this paper saying that the paper was an update on what is currently used as a method for estimating international migration and also planned work. Cecilia explained that GROS would have to look at the results of the work being carried out by ONS and see how it fits in with the method and data available in Scotland. The other piece of planned work was to look at how international migration was allocated to local authorities.

8.8 Jan Freeke asked what the current method for estimating migration was. Cecilia replied that the current method was documented in the paper PAMS (03) 10. Roma Chappell also added that there was a CLIP paper which explained potential changes to the way in which ONS calculate international migration. Jan asked if these papers could be circulated to PAMS.

Action Cecilia Macintyre and Roma Chappell

(d) Implications of the recent change to NHS Board areas – PAMS (06) 09

8.9 Cecilia Macintyre introduced this paper and mentioned that GROS were currently considering how to present NHS Board information in published tables after the dissolution of Argyll & Clyde NHS Board. Cecilia said that there had been a meeting with GROS, ISD and NHS Boards about data provision for the new areas and it had been agreed to provide information for the old and new areas for the next two years and it was planned to discuss with ISD the new format for GROS tables. Cecilia wondered if anyone knew if the names of the new areas had been finalised. Chris Carr said that she thought they had not been finalised but that Jim Waldron was the person to speak to. Cecilia asked Cheryl Heeley from ISD if she could follow this up and she agreed to let Cecilia know when she found out.

Action Cheryl Heeley

8.10 Alan Fleming then raised the issue of what should be done on the SCROL website as regards the NHS Board geography. Jenny said that it should really be for people in NHS Boards areas to say how they want the data presented. Cecilia agreed and asked Cheryl if she could identify people in NHS Boards to help and pass some names onto Alan Fleming.

Action Cheryl Heeley

(e) Household estimates and projections update – PAMS (06) 10

8.11 Paula Lopez introduced this paper explaining that Esther Roughsedge sent her apologies and that she was attending the meeting in her place. Paula reported that the Household projections were due to be published in about 2 weeks' time on 31 May. Pre-release access of one day would be given to those registered to receive early access. Paula continued to say that there were plans to make further changes to the methodology used to produce the projections and that the Household Analysis Review Group (HARG) would be reviewing the method used to produce household projections in time for the 2006-based set. Paula also informed the group about the work of the branch relating to the production of small area household estimates, and other work areas such as the publication of 2005 dwelling counts in July 2006 on the SNS website and the work to develop a source of data on Communal Establishments. Paula asked if there were any questions.

8.12 Jan Freeke said that he had two points to raise. The first was when would the small area estimates work start. Paula replied that they were hoping to focus on this area of work once the projections were finalised and published and that the branch was expecting the first set of data in September 2006. Jan said that his second point was that he wondered whether all areas had the systems in order to collect the data required for the small area estimates. Paula replied that she was not sure but that some areas were making more progress than others. She reiterated that the branch hoped to get in to this shortly. Jan also mentioned that the paper presented was missing a mention to reviewing headship rates/ household membership rates amongst the changes planned for the methodology of the projections. Paula replied that she would pass this query on to Esther.

Action Paula Lopez

Post meeting note 1: While the first collection of small area household estimates will be carried out in September 2006, it is acknowledged that there are likely to be some issues regarding quality and completeness of the data, initially. Therefore, it may take longer before a complete dataset is available which can be published.

Post meeting note 2 – it has been confirmed that HARG will be considering alternatives to headship rates, such as household membership rates, as part of the household projections review

8.13 Sheena Stevely questioned the use of Council Tax systems for the small area estimates because of doubts about the accuracy levels for vacant households i.e. the estimates would miss short-term vacancies and so may be an overestimate. Sheena said that she was happy for GROS to go down this road but that she thought that there needed to be more work carried out regarding quality. Paula thanked Sheena and said that she would pass Sheena's comments on to Esther. Richard Belding asked what would be expected from local authority contacts. Paula said that she was not sure about the details but that the idea was to allow local authorities to take part in the quality assurance process and that she would check with Esther.

Action Paula Lopez

Post-meeting note: Paula and Esther confirmed that local authorities will be offered the opportunity to check and comment on the small area household estimates, if they wish. There will be no obligation to do so, and it is acknowledged that many local authorities may not have the information or resources required. However, it is likely that some local authorities would welcome the opportunity to consider the data and compare it with their own local knowledge.

8.14 Regarding the work on Communal Establishments, it was also mentioned that a consultation with Local Authorities would be welcomed as some work had been done by some of them and some phenomenal changes had been noticed.

8.14 Cecilia thanked Paula and said that Esther would pick these points up after the household projections were published. Jan Freeke said that it would be useful to compare the small area household estimates with the population estimates by datazones. Cecilia agreed that it would and that was one of the main reasons that the household work had moved to GROS to be closer to the population work.

(f) Alternative Sources: The School Age Population in Scotland – PAMS (06) 11

8.15 Neil Bowie introduced this paper saying that Ganka Mueller sent her apologies. Neil explained that the paper compared the mid-year estimates with information from the School Census which included an adjustment for independent schools. Neil asked if there were any questions about the paper.

8.16 Richard Belding said that he was interested in the page 12 of the paper as there was a figure for independent schools that was very similar to one that he had produced for Aberdeenshire. Richard wondered whether this figure could be broken down by ages 5-11 and 12-15. Neil replied that he thought it may be possible but the SE Education Department would be the people to ask for more information.

8.17 Jenny Boag said that she thought that it was an interesting paper but she wondered about the independent schools estimate as private school merge and change a lot and that there was probably more change than you would think since the 2001 Census and she gave a local example from Falkirk.

8.18 Cecilia thanked Neil and noted that the work he was doing was "our IMPS project".

(g) Improving Population and Migration Statistics (IMPS) Project – PAMS (06) 12

8.19 Roma Chappell from ONS introduced this paper and explained that the purpose of IMPS – the main driver – was the large difference ONS had between their estimates and the results of the 2001. Therefore the purpose of the IMPS project was mainly to improve migration estimates as this was thought to account for most of the difference. Roma explained that to really have a dramatic improvement in migration statistics new sources such as the Home Office e-borders project would be needed, but in the short-term it would not be possible to get these radical changes. Roma explained that the IMPS project included the National Statistics Quality Review of International Migration and that there were 2 key things. The first was to improve the quality of the data we can get and the second the geographical distribution. Roma also mentioned that there would be a “Population Trends” article in the summer issue reporting some findings on population issues and definitions. Cecilia thanked Roma for her helpful update.

(h) Other developments at ONS on population and migration statistics

8.20 Roma Chappell also introduced this item and explained that the main developments were the transfer of the national population projections work to ONS from GAD on 31 January 2006 and the setting up of the New National Centre for Demography. Roma asked if there were any questions – there were none.

(i) ONS Independence

8.21 Roma Chappell introduced this item saying that the consultation about ONS’s independence was available on the ONS website. Roma noted that ONS becoming independent was unlikely to change the relationship ONS had with GROS or the SE. Cecilia thanked Roma for her update and asked Duncan Macniven, the Registrar General for Scotland whether he would like to update the group on independence developments in Scotland.

8.22 Duncan Macniven said he would say a few words and pointed out that independence would not mean a rolling back of devolution. The statistics produced by the Scottish Executive and GROS would be unaffected unless Ministers decide there should be change. However, this was seen as an opportunity at one level as issues about confidence in official statistics affected Scotland as well as elsewhere in the UK. Also at another level, there may be an advantage to think about better linkage between department data sources. Duncan continued to say that there were no plans to split GROS like ONS where the Registrar General’s role was being separated. Duncan noted that GROS expect to have some relationship with ONS about the Census – beyond that it is a case of wait and see how the details work out. Independent inspection – possibly The National Statistician’s role or an independent commission has attractions – so long as any new code of practice is not detrimental to Scottish interests. Duncan thought that overall there would be no great change. Cecilia thanked Duncan for his update.

9. Progress on research on demographic topics

9.1 Cecilia Macintyre introduced this item as research colleagues in the Scottish Executive were unable to make the meeting. Cecilia reported that all the projects bar one were complete – the last would finish in March 2007. The question now was how the results were to be disseminated. It was planned to have individual publications with a combined event in April / May 2007, once all the projects have come to an end.

10. Progress on the work of the Scottish Population Survey Coordinating Committee – PAMS (06) 13

10.1 Cecilia Macintyre introduced this paper saying that Robert Williams sent his apologies but he had sent this update note along instead and an electronic version will be circulated.

Action Cecilia Macintyre

11. Review of GROS websites

11.1 Alan Fleming updated the group on this work saying that an internal group had been formed in GROS to discuss how to improve the Statistics section of the GROS website and there would be a meeting next week. There were a number of changes to the website which were planned to be implemented in the short-term, such as a new Statistics Homepage and more user-friendly sections covering different areas of statistics such as population, households and the Census. There are also some, longer-term issues the group would look at, such as possible warehousing software and graphical tools.

11.2 Cecilia thanked Alan and added that a new High Level Summary of Statistics (HLSS) was about to be published looking at key trends across the Scottish Executive and there was a demographics section. Cecilia asked Gayle Johnston from the SE whether there was anything else she would like to add. Gayle said that the HLSS would look at key trends across SE statistics and that the SE website had been redesigned. The 1st phase – 22nd June – the HLSS would be incorporated onto the SE site and at the same time a paper publication would be produced.

11.3 Cecilia thanked Gayle and mentioned that the “Registrar General’s Annual Review of Demographic Trends” would be published on 28 July 2006.

12. Any Other Business

12.1 None.

13. Date of next meeting

13.1 The next meeting will be held in November and the secretary will consult members in due course.